

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 90.7%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that 17 of 18 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining one finding was subsequently corrected by April 6, 2009.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements was corrected.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS with remaining noncompliance: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has completed the required action (initiated services), although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 99.8%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 99.8%.</p> <p>The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 99% for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p> <p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%.</p>

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																												
[Results Indicator]		There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. The State indicated it is monitoring for individualized setting decisions in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure that the determination of settings in which infants and toddlers with disabilities receive early intervention services is individualized on the IFSP.																												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they have a developmental delay or an established condition for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 683 1446 1515"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 683 1077 867">07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1077 683 1199 867">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1199 683 1323 867">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1323 683 1446 867">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 867 1077 938">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 867 1199 938">0</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 867 1323 938">&lt;1</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 867 1446 938">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 938 1077 1081">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 938 1199 1081">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 938 1323 1081">8</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 938 1446 1081">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1081 1077 1187">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1081 1199 1187">13</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1081 1323 1187">17</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1081 1446 1187">13</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1187 1077 1292">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1187 1199 1292">39</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1187 1323 1292">50</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1187 1446 1292">47</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1292 1077 1409">e. % of infants &amp; toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1292 1199 1409">39</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1292 1323 1409">24</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1292 1446 1409">34</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1409 1077 1515">Total (approx. 100%)</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1077 1409 1199 1515">100%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1199 1409 1323 1515">100%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1323 1409 1446 1515">100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0	<1	0	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	8	8	6	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	13	17	13	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	39	50	47	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	39	24	34	Total (approx. 100%)	100%	100%	100%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State also reported outcome data for one at-risk child separately from children eligible due to diagnosed conditions and developmental delays.</p> <p>The State must provide baseline data, targets and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																											
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0	<1	0																											
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	8	8	6																											
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	13	17	13																											
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	39	50	47																											
e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	39	24	34																											
Total (approx. 100%)	100%	100%	100%																											

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>																				
	<p>The State reported progress data for one infant and toddler because this child is eligible under the State's at risk eligibility criteria. OSEP reviewed these data and found it to be consistent with OSEP's reporting requirements.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 412 1478 805"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Data</th> <th>FFY 2007 Target</th> <th>Progress</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights. (%)</td> <td align="center">64</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">80</td> <td align="center">16.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)</td> <td align="center">85</td> <td align="center">89</td> <td align="center">88</td> <td align="center">4.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">93</td> <td align="center">88</td> <td align="center">6.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data.</p> <p>The State met all of its FFY 2007 targets for this indicator.</p>		FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress	A. Know their rights. (%)	64	80	80	16.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	89	88	4.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	93	88	6.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2007 Target	Progress																		
A. Know their rights. (%)	64	80	80	16.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	85	89	88	4.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	87	93	88	6.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 1.65%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 1.57%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 1.38% for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 3.68%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 3.64%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 3.01% for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Results Indicator]		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 90%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that all four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 99%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the LEA notification requirement was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirement in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.6%. These data</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance</p>

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 93%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include data, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that demonstrated correction of the FFY 2005 noncompliance. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected.</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2006 with the timely transition conference requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.</p> <p>The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary, to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 96.15%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified by the State in FFY 2007, in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34</p>

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that 32 of 33 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 were corrected in a timely manner and that the one remaining finding was subsequently corrected by April 6, 2009.	<p>CFR §303.501 and OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State’s monitoring system, through the State’s data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that each EIS program with identified noncompliance is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1 and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p> <p>In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2007 data for this indicator are 0%. These data are based on one complaint. OSEP cannot comment on progress or slippage from FFY 2006 because no complaints were received during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>The State submitted a revised Table 4 demonstrating corrected data concerning the one complaint.</p>	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the FFY 2007 reporting period. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.

**New Hampshire Part C FFY 2007 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures to resolve Part C due process hearing requests.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State reported that one of two mediations resulted in a mediation agreement. One mediation was withdrawn by the family. The State reported that no requests for mediation were received in FFY 2006. The State reported fewer than ten mediation agreements held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 91.2%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 92.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2006 data of 96.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>